INVITED PAPER Special Section on Electronic Displays Electrostatic Tactile Display Using Beat Phenomenon for Stimulus Localization

Hiroshi HAGA^{†a)}, Kazuhide YOSHINAGA[†], Jiro YANASE[†], Daisuke SUGIMOTO[†], Kenichi TAKATORI[†], and Hideki ASADA[†], Nonmembers

SUMMARY We present an electrostatic tactile display for stimulus localization. The 240-Hz electrostatic force was generated by the beat phenomenon in a region where excited X electrodes cross excited Y electrodes, which presents localized tactile sensation out of the entire surface. A 10.4-in. visual-tactile integrated display was successfully demonstrated.

key words: tactile display, electrostatic force, beat phenomenon, multi touch, user experience

1. Introduction

The success of multi-touch touchscreens has enabled intuitive human-display interaction through touch surfaces. We have been interacting with displays via not only the visual sensory channel but also the tactile sensory channel. This meets user demand for rich tactile feedback. There are three types of tactile displays with visual information: (I) one in which the touch surface vibrates with mechanical actuators such as coil-type actuators [1] or piezoelectric devices [2], (II) an electrostatic tactile display in which the electrode is located under an insulator layer [3], [4], and (III) an electrotactile display that directly activates sensory nerves via an electrical current [5]. In type (II), when a high AC voltage is applied to the electrode, the skin is attracted by electrostatic force. The sensation is presented when a finger slides across the surface by detecting the horizontal deformation of the skin produced by dynamic friction variation transformed from electrostatic force variation. This effect is known as "electrovibration" [6].

An important issue underlying these three types is multi-touch tactile interaction. Types (I) and (II) present the same sensation over the entire surface. Thus, all fingers that come into contact with the surface sense the same sensation. The tactile display in type (III) contains segment electrodes to localize stimuli presented on the surface. However, this is not scalable as the number of electrodes increases because each electrode is connected to a wire. Thus, the spatial resolution tends to be low.

From this background, our objective was to develop a novel tactile display that presents regional stimulation to accommodate multi-touch or multi-person tactile interaction. This paper proposes an electrostatic tactile display featuring

DOI: 10.1587/transele.E98.C.1008

regional stimulation and presents a visual-tactile integrated display.

2. Related Work

Electrostatic tactile displays use the electrovibration effect. Electrovibration was discovered in 1953 by accident. Mallinckrodt et al. [7] reported that if a dry finger is moved gently over a metal surface covered with a thin insulating layer and the metal is excited with a 110 V AC power line, the surface has a characteristic rubbery feeling. They explained the effect by suggesting that the insulating layer or the dry outer skin forms the dielectric of a parallel plate capacitor with the metal as one plate and the conducting fluids of the finger as the other. When an alternating voltage is applied to the metal, there is an intermittent electrostatic attractive force between the skin and the metal. While this force is too weak to be perceived when the finger is static, it modulates friction between the surface and the skin of the moving finger, creating the rubbery sensation.

The first attempt to use electrovibration for tactile application was reported by Strong in 1970 [8]. He buried an array of metal pins into plastic body, whose flat heads are insulated with a thin layer of dielectric. Different voltage signals were applied to different pins so that users could feel various tactile shapes. A similar configuration was reported by Tang et al. in 1998 [9], where he fabricated an electrode array covered with an insulating layer on a silicon wafer using lithographic microfabrication.

The combination of electrostatic tactile display and visual display was first reported by Bau et al. in 2010 [3]. The tactile display was composed of a glass plate and an optically transparent electrode sheet formed on the glass plate, where the electrode was coated with an insulator layer. The visual image was projected onto a diffuser plane using a projector that was installed behind the glass plate. Tactile stimuli were formed in accordance with the visual information. Radivojevic et al. [4] reported on the combination of electrostatic tactile display and liquid crystal display (LCD) for mobile use, where the tactile display was placed over the LCD. Since these electrostatic tactile displays combined with visual displays present the same tactile sensation over the entire surface, they are not suitable for multi-touch or multi-person tactile interaction.

Manuscript received February 25, 2015.

Manuscript revised June 5, 2015.

[†]The authors are with NLT Technologies, Ltd., Kawasaki-shi, 211–8666 Japan.

a) E-mail: h-haga@nlt-technologies.com

3. Electrostatic Tactile Display with Regional Stimulation

We proposed an electrostatic tactile display with regional stimulation, which is illustrated in Fig. 1. This display panel consists of a glass substrate, multiple X and Y electrodes made of an indium tin oxide (ITO) on the substrate in a matrix arrangement, and an acrylic insulator layer that covers the electrodes. This display panel presents localized stimulus at the region where excited X electrodes cross excited Y electrodes. The stimulus is presented when a finger slides across the region. Users experience the tactile texture of fine roughness in the region. By selecting electrodes to be excited, this display panel presents the stimulus at arbitrary positions around which the X electrode crosses the Y electrode. Our display uses the beat phenomenon of voltage waveforms between the electrodes to present the stimulus.

4. Principle

Figure 2 shows how our display presents tactile sensation to the finger. It is a cross-sectional view of our display in



Fig.1 Electrostatic tactile display using beat phenomenon of voltage waveforms between electrodes.



Fig. 2 Principle of tactile display using beat phenomenon of voltage waveforms.

the region where excited X electrodes cross excited Y electrodes. The Y electrodes are excited by applying an AC voltage V₁ with a frequency of f₁ (e.g. 1000 Hz). The X electrodes are excited with an AC voltage V₂ with a frequency of f₂ (e.g. 1240 Hz). When a finger (represented as electrode P) comes into contact with the surface, multiple X and Y electrodes oppose electrode P. These electrodes form parallel plate capacitors with capacitance C. The voltage of electrode P (V_p) can be estimated as V_p = (V₁+V₂)/2 when resistance R is large.

In this case, the electrostatic force F_{e1} induced between electrodes P and X_a is obtained using the formula for the electrostatic force of the parallel plate capacitor.

$$F_{e1} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon S} \left(C \frac{V_2 - V_1}{2} \right)^2, \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r$, ε_0 and ε_r are the permittivity of free space and the relative permittivity respectively, and S is the electrode area of the parallel plate capacitor.

In the same way, the electrostatic force F_{e2} induced between electrodes P and Y_{b} is

$$F_{e2} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon S} \left(C \frac{V_1 - V_2}{2} \right)^2.$$
⁽²⁾

When the pitch between the electrodes is so minute that the electrostatic force F_{e1} and the electrostatic force F_{e2} cannot be distinguished by a finger, it can be considered that the total force, which is the sum of the individual forces F_{e1} and F_{e2} , works on the finger in a macroscopic manner.

The total electrostatic force of electrode P is $F_{total} = 2(F_{e1} + F_{e2})$ in the case of the figure. By substituting $Acos2\pi f_1 t$ for V_1 and substituting $Acos2\pi f_2 t$ for V_2 , we obtain F_{total} :

$$F_{\text{total}} = \frac{A^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{S}}{2d^2} \{1 - \cos 2\pi (f_1 + f_2)t\} \{1 - \cos 2\pi (f_1 - f_2)t\}, \quad (3)$$

where A is the voltage amplitude, d is the thickness of the insulator and f_1 and f_2 are the frequencies of V_1 and V_2 , respectively.



Fig. 3 Calculated waveforms of V₁, V₂, V_p, and F_{total}.



Fig. 4 Measured detection threshold voltage for different frequencies.

To understand the meaning of Formula (3), the waveforms of V₁, V₂, V_p, and F_{total} are shown in Fig. 3. The envelope of F_{total} has a frequency of 240 Hz, which is the beat frequency of f_1 and f_2 . When the finger slides across the surface in this region, the sensation is presented by detecting horizontal deformation of the skin produced by dynamic friction variation transformed from electrostatic force variation of this beat frequency.

5. Design of Signal Frequency

The design of signal frequency is crucial for realizing regional stimulation. The sensitivity of our tactile sensory system depends on frequency. Figure 4 shows the measured detection threshold voltage for different frequencies using a conventional electrostatic display, in which the electrode was not patterned. The detection threshold voltage is defined as the minimum voltage amplitude that creates a barely detectable sensation. Figure 4 indicates that the detection threshold voltage is small around a 200 Hz of electrostatic force. This means our tactile sensory system has high sensitivity around 200 Hz. On the other hand, we have low sensitivity over 1000 Hz.

From these findings, we selected a frequency of 1000 Hz for f_1 as the Y electrode excitation and 1240 Hz for f_2 as the X electrode excitation. An example of the driving scheme is illustrated in Fig. 5, where the electrodes in region A and B were excited by AC voltages sources whose frequencies were 1000 Hz and 1240 Hz, respectively. In this case, in region C, 240 Hz of electrostatic force at which we have high sensitivity occurs by the beat phenomenon. In contrast, in region A and B, but not in region C, there occur 2000 Hz and 2480 Hz of electrostatic force respectively, at which we have low sensitivity. Thus, users perceive tactile sensation only in region C out of all the other regions of the surface.

6. 4.1-in. Prototype Device

Figure 6 shows a schematic of the mask layout of our tactile



Fig. 5 Driving scheme example.



Fig. 6 Mask layout of our electrostatic tactile display.

 Table 1
 Specifications of electrostatic tactile display.

Diagonal size	4.1-inch wide
Number of electrode	32 (X) x 52 (Y)
Electrode pitch	1.73 mm (X) x 1.75 mm (Y)
Insulator thickness (d)	1.5 μm
Insulator relative	3.0
permittivity (ε _r)	

display prototype, and Table 1 lists its specifications. The important parameters to intensify the stimulus generated by the beat phenomena are electrode pitch and lateral space between the X and Y electrodes. In this prototype, the electrode pitch is set to be small, around 1.7 mm, compared with the electrode pitch in projected capacitive touchscreens. The lateral space between the X and Y electrodes is minimized by adopting a diamond-shaped electrode. The thickness (d) of the insulator covering the electrode is $1.5 \,\mu$ m and the relative permittivity (ε_r) of it is 3.0.

7. Measurement of the Electrical Potentials

To validate the principle of our display, electrical potentials of the electrode P (finger) in Fig. 2 were measured using a conductive square prism. Figure 7 shows the measurement setup. The square prism, which has a square bottom of $10 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm}$ was placed on the surface insulator of the display. The prism was connected to a conductive wire, which was grabbed using an oscilloscope voltage-probe with a load of $10 \text{ M}\Omega/8 \text{ pF}$.

Figure 8 shows the display driving configuration for this measurement. A group of Y electrodes, which consists of Y_{20} to Y_{32} , was excited by applying an AC voltage V_1 with a frequency of 1000 Hz. A group of X electrodes, which consists of X_{10} to X_{21} , was excited by applying an AC voltage V_2 with a frequency of 1240 Hz. The electrodes, except those excited electrodes, were connected to ground. The square prism was placed in the following four positions and waveforms were measured.

• Position (I), where Y electrodes were excited by an AC voltage of 1000 Hz and X electrodes were connected to ground.



Fig.7 Setup for electrical potential measurement.



Fig. 8 Display driving configuration for electrical potentials measurement.

- Position (II), where Y electrodes were connected to ground and X electrodes were excited by an AC voltage of 1240 Hz.
- Position (III), where Y and X electrodes were excited by an AC voltage of 1000 and 1240 Hz, respectively.
- Position (IV), where both Y and X electrodes were connected to ground.

The measured waveforms of each position are shown in Fig. 9. The frequencies of 1000 and 1240 Hz were observed at positions (I) and (II), respectively. The beat waveform was observed at position (III), which agrees fairly well with the calculated waveform of electrode P, as shown in Fig. 3. These results confirm the validity of the principle of our display.

8. Stimulus Perception Tests

To verify the performance of our tactile display, stimulus perception tests were carried out. Ten males in their 20's to 50's participated in the tests. The display driving configuration for these tests creates three regions where the beat phenomenon occurs selectively (Fig. 10). The 12 excited X electrodes were X_{10} to X_{21} . The Y electrodes to be excited were divided into three groups with each group consisting of 13 electrodes, Y_4 to Y_{16} , Y_{20} to Y_{32} , and Y_{36} to Y_{48} , and were connected to the AC voltage source V1 through three switches. The electrodes, except those to be excited, were connected to ground. The operator selected one of three regions (B, D, and F) where the beat phenomenon will occur by turning on the corresponding switch. This configuration and operation were hidden from the participants. They were asked to indicate a perceptually different region on the touch surface. They began by gently running their fingers across the surface in any way they liked and indicated if they sensed a perceptually different region. If they could not sense a perceptual difference, they indicated that there was no perceptual difference. Figure 11 shows a photograph of







Fig. 10 Display driving configuration for stimulus perception tests.



Fig. 11 Photograph of participant undergoing stimulus perception tests.



Fig. 12 Number of answers from stimulus perception tests.

a participant undergoing a stimulus perception test.

The tests results are summarized in Fig. 12. When an operator selected region F, 85% of the answers indicated region F as a perceptually different region from the entire surface. When an operator selected region D, 75% of answers indicated region D. When an operator selected region B, 80% of answers indicated region B. The overall average of correct answers was 80%.

Two participants out of ten failed to detect any distinctive sensation on the surface. The correct answer ratio of these two participants was nearly 0%. The other eight participants scored nearly 100% of the correct answer ratio. Thus, the dominant factor of each correct answer ratio



Fig.13 Finger trace examples of two participants during perception tests.

in Fig. 12 was interperson vriability. It has been reported that electrostatic tactile displays are quite sensitive to skin humidity, resulting in stimulus instability. The shielding effect of the sweat layer results in an electric field formed between the electrodes and the sweat layer rather than between the electrodes and the skin. Consequently, the electrostatic force on the skin decreases significantly. Furthermore, the physical characteristics of the sweat layer may prevent the production of a shear force [9]. Actually, one of our participants who failed to detect any distinctive sensation had sweaty fingers. We couldn't find specific reason for the other participant who failed to detect any distinctive sensation.

Some participants who could detect distinctive sensation described it as a surface with fine roughness at the region where the beat phenomenon occurred. Other some participants described it as gummy. These sensations were perceived only when the participants were running their fingers. Moreover the perceived strength of the sensation varied by the running speed. Thus the sensation was quite different from the vibration created by mechanical actuators.

Figure 13 shows finger trace examples of two participants during these tests when the beat phenomenon occurred in region D, the center of the display. These results show that most participants perceived localized tactile stimulus where the beat phenomenon occurred.

9. 10.4-in. Visual-Tactile Integrated Display

We fabricated a 10.4-in. tactile display and developed a visual-tactile integrated display as well. Figure 14 (a) shows the 10.4-in. tactile display panel, and Fig. 14 (b) shows the configuration of our visual-tactile integrated display. The 10.4-in. tactile display is mounted on the surface of a 10.4-in. LCD and the camera-based optical touch sensor is placed on the tactile display at the bezel area.

The visual-tactile integrated display operates with a PC. Figure 15 shows the system configuration. The visual image data is transmitted to the visual display block in the visual-tactile integrated display via VGA connection. The tactile mapping data which corresponds to the visual image is transmitted to the tactile display block via USB connection. The touch sensor is connected to the PC via another USB port. The user can interact with the visual-tactile integrated display via both visual sensation and tactile sensation



Fig. 14 (a) Fabricated 10.4-in. tactile display panel. (b) Configuration of visual-tactile integrated display.



Fig. 15 System configuration of Visual-tactile integrated display.



Fig. 16 (a) "A crawling tortoise". (b) "Ten Key" software.

simultaneously.

The processing flow of the system of our visual-tactile integrated display is quite simple and is composed of two steps: (I) writing visual-image data to the graphic frame buffer and (II) writing tactile-mapping data, which corresponds to the visual-image data, to the tactile frame buffer. Owing to the feature of our tactile display, which presents localized tactile stimulus designated by tactile-mapping data written in the tactile frame buffer, the processing flow is quite different from the conventional one. That is, neither the step detecting the finger position by touch sensor nor the step generating an activate signal for an actuator related to the finger position is necessary. Thus, the system configuration of our visual-tactile integrated display is simple.

User experiences were confirmed on our visual-tactile integrated display by using some demonstration software. Figure 16(a) shows a piece of demonstration software named "A crawling tortoise". The tactile texture is being presented on the crawling tortoise's back limitedly; thus, multiple fingers feel reasonable tactile texture simultaneously. If multiple fingers touch the display at the same time, the fingers sliding on the tortoise can feel the appropriate texture, but the fingers sliding on the area without the tortoise will not feel the texture. The haptic feedback increases the realism of visual environments and creates the metaphor of direct interaction. Figure 16 (b) shows demonstration software named "Ten Key". The tactile texture is being presented on each numerical key. This enables users to identify the key location not only visually but also through tactile sensation. This increases interface efficiency because the user can rely on familiar haptic cues to accomplish even the most basic interactive tasks.

As shown above, the feature of regional stimulation could be useful in many applications including smart devices, cockpit displays in automobiles and aircraft to prevent the driver/pilot from being visually distracted, and in devices for the visually impaired.

10. Conclusion

We proposed an electrostatic tactile display for stimulus localization that uses the beat phenomenon of voltage waveforms between adjacent electrodes. We demonstrated the functionality of our display with a prototype with which most participants perceived the localized tactile stimulus where the beat phenomenon occurred. Because the stimulus can be localized on the touch surface, each finger should sense its own stimulus. This feature could be useful in many applications such as smart devices, devices for visually impaired people and cockpit displays in a situation where a pilot or a driver should not fix their eyes on it due to attention to their way. This paper contributes to enhance user experience through multi-touch tactile interaction with visual information and opens up new possibilities for human-display interaction.

References

- M. Fukumoto and T. Sugimura, "Active Click: Tactile Feedback for Touch Panels," CHI' 2001, Extended Abstracts 2001: ACM, pp.121–122, 2001.
- [2] I. Poupyrev and S. Maruyama, "Tactile Interface for Small Touch Screens," UIST 2003: ACM, pp.217–220, 2003.
- [3] O. Bau, I. Poupyrev, A. Israr, and C. Harrison, "TeslaTouch: Electrovibration for Touch Surfaces," UIST 2010: ACM, pp.283–292, 2010.
- [4] Z. Radivojevic, P. Beecher, C. Bower, D. Cotton, S. Haque, P. Andrew, B. Henson, S.A. Wall, I.S. Howard, J.N. Ingram, D.M. Wolpert, A.O. Salo, and T. Xue, "Programmable Electrostatic Surface for Tactile Perceptions," SID 2012 Digest, pp.407–410, 2012.
- [5] H. Kajimoto, "Skeletouch: Transparent Electro-Tactile Display for Mobile Surfaces," SIGGRAPH Asia 2012, pp.1–3, 2012.
- [6] K.A. Kaczmarek, K. Nammi, A.K. Agarwal, M.E. Tyler, S.J. Haase, and D.J. Beebe, "Polarity Effect in Electrovibration for Tactile Display," IEEE Biomedical Engineering, vol.53, no.10, pp.2047–2054, Oct. 2006.
- [7] E. Mallinckrodt, A. Hughes, and W. Sleator, "Perception by the Skin of Electrically Induced Vibrations," Science, 1953, vol.118, no.3062, pp.277–278, 1953.
- [8] R. Strong and D. Troxel, "An Electrotactile Display," IEEE Man-Machine System, vol.11, no.1, pp.72–79, March 1970.
- [9] H. Tang and D.J. Beebe, "A Microfabricated Electrostatic Haptic Display for persons with Visual Impairments," IEEE Rehabilitation Engineering, vol.6, no.3, pp.241–248, Sept. 1998.



Hiroshi Haga received his B.E. degree in image science and technology from Chiba University, Japan, in 1994. He then joined NEC Corporation, where he was engaged in the research and development of polycrystalline silicon TFT circuits and their application devices. In 2011 he joined NLT Technologies, Ltd. and has been developing human-display interaction technologies.



Hideki Asada received his B.E. and M.E. degrees in electrical engineering from Keio University in 1985 and 1987, respectively. He then joined NEC Corporation, where he was engaged in the research and development of polycrystalline silicon TFT circuits and their application devices. He received the Special Recognition Awards from the SID in 2006. In 2011, he joined NLT Technologies, Ltd. and has been in charge of the R&D Department.



Kazuhide Yoshinaga is a member of the Development Division, NLT Technologies, Ltd., Kanagawa, Japan, where he is developing touch screen technologies.



Jiro Yanase received his B.S. and M.S. degree in electronic physics from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan, in 1999 and 2001, respectively. He then joined NEC Corporation, where he was engaged in the research and development of poly-silicon TFT process. He joined NLT Technologies, Ltd. and his development is poly-silicon TFT circuits and their application devices.



Daisuke Sugimoto received his M.E. degree in information processing from Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan, in 2011. He then joined NLT Technologies, Ltd. and has been developing human-display interaction technologies.



Kenichi Takatori received the Bachelor of Liberal Arts degree in pure and applied sciences from the University of Tokyo, Japan, in 1990. He joined NEC Corporation to develop the nextgeneration LCDs and LTPS applications. During 2001-2002, he stayed in the Microelectronics Laboratory at Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium. He is now with NLT Technologies, Ltd.